

Carlisle Conservation Commission
Thursday, August 12, 2021
Minutes

7:10 p.m. Vice Chair Wells Introduction to Remote Meeting: The COVID-19 State of Emergency began on March 10, 2020 and ended on June 15, 2021. On June 16, 2021, Chapter 20 of the Acts of 2021 was signed into law by Governor Baker, extending the option for remote meetings for commissions until April 1, 2022, in order to mitigate the transmission of the COVID-19 virus.

This meeting was conducted via remote participation via Zoom with the link provided on the posted agenda. No in-person attendance of members of the public was permitted, but every effort was made to ensure that the public could adequately access the proceedings.

Members Present: Dan Wells (Vice Chair), Lee Tatistcheff, Helen Young (7:17) Navneet Hundal, Nick Ognibene
Members Absent: Ken Belitz, Alex Parra
Conservation Staff: Sylvia Willard, Conservation Administrator; Mary Hopkins, Assistant to the Administrator

Administrative Matters:

Signatory Authorization: On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Ognibene, it was VOTED to allow the Administrator to sign documents approved at this meeting on the Commission's behalf. Roll Call Vote: Hundal – aye; Tatistcheff – aye; Ognibene – aye; Wells – aye.

Bills: On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Ognibene, it was VOTED to approve the bills as presented. Roll Call Vote: Hundal – aye; Tatistcheff – aye; Ognibene – aye; Wells – aye.

Minutes: On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to approve the minutes of June 24, 2021, as submitted. Roll Call Vote: Hundal – aye; Tatistcheff – aye; Ognibene – aye; Wells – aye.

Conservation Restriction Advisory Committee (CRAC) Representative: On the motion by Hundal and seconded by Ognibene, it was VOTED to nominate Tatistcheff as the Commission's CRAC representative. Roll Call Vote: Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

Woodward Village: On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal it was voted to reaffirm the revised Woodward Open Space Deed as described. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

Future Meeting Format Discussion: Tatistcheff requested time on the next agenda to discuss the potential of returning to in person meetings.

WPA Project Extension Procedures: Willard reported there are guidance procedures now in place regarding permit tolling for projects that were permitted during the Covid-19 State of Emergency under the Wetlands Protect Act. She explained these guidance procedures do not extend to local permits under wetland bylaws and asked whether the Commission recommends approving these for local permits as well, for efficiency purposes. On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to adopt the state Permit Tolling procedures. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

7:15 p.m. (DEP 125-1085) Abbreviated Notice of Resource Delineation, Continued Hearing
Applicant: Chris Buono, South St Carlisle LLC (f/k/a All Things Real Estate)
Project Location: 0 South Street, Map 5 Lots 54 and 56
Project Description: Review of 6,500 feet of Bordering Vegetated Wetland Resource Area delineation

Wells opened the continued hearing under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and the Carlisle Wetlands Protection Bylaw. On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to continue the hearing to August 26, 2021, at 7:45 p.m. at the applicant's request. Roll Call Vote: Hundal – aye; Tatistcheff – aye; Ognibene – aye; Wells – aye.

7:36 p.m. (DEP 125-1107) Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation, Continued hearing
Applicant: John Moravec
Project Location: Clark Farm Road Map 8 Parcel 38-Y Lots 2-1 and 202, & Map 15 Parcel 13-4
Project Description: Confirmation of Resource Area Delineation

Wells opened the continued hearing under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and the Carlisle Wetlands Protection Bylaw. On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to continue the hearing to August 26, 2021, at 8:00 p.m. at the representative's request. Roll Call Vote: Hundal – aye; Tatistcheff – aye; Young – aye; Ognibene – aye; Wells – aye.

7:38 p.m. (DEP 125-1115) Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation, Continued Hearing
Applicant: John Reichenbach
Project Location: 75 West Street, Map 18, Parcels 5 & 6-X
Project Description: Confirmation of the delineation of wetland resource areas associated with 75 West Street - Parcel ID 18-5 which includes a single-family dwelling and a vacant lot, Parcel ID 18-6-X

Wells opened the continued hearing under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and the Carlisle Wetlands Protection Bylaw. On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to continue the hearing to August 26, 2021, at 8:00 p.m., since the revised plan was just submitted earlier in the day and not in compliance with the Commission's stated submittal requirements. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

7:46 p.m. (DEP 125-1105) Notice of Intent, Continued Hearing
Applicant: Alison V. Pascarelli & Elizabeth Hudson Valentine,
Trustees of the 566 Acton Street Nominee Trust
Project Location: Acton Street: Map 17, Parcel 18-16 & 18-17
Project Description: Construction of a Common Driveway to serve 2 lots with work within the 100-foot Buffer Zone of a Bordering Vegetated Wetland

Wells opened the continued hearing under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and the Carlisle Wetlands Protection Bylaw. The draft Order of Conditions crafted by peer reviewer David Pickart of Ecological Resource Consultants, Inc. had been provided to members prior to the meeting.

On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to close the hearing for DEP 125-1105. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to issue a Standard Order of Conditions with Special Conditions as proposed. Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

7:50 p.m. (DEP 125-1104) Notice of Intent, Continued Hearing
Applicant: Alison V. Pascarelli & Elizabeth Hudson Valentine,
Trustees of the 566 Acton Street Nominee Trust
Project Location: West Street: Map 18, Parcel 23-7
Project Description: Construction of a Common Driveway to serve 2 lots with work within the 100-ft. Buffer Zone of a Bordering Vegetated Wetland

Wells opened the continued hearing under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and the Carlisle Wetlands Protection Bylaw. The draft Order of Conditions crafted by peer reviewer David Pickart of Ecological Resource Consultants, Inc. had been provided to members prior to the meeting.

On the motion by Young and seconded by Tatistcheff, it was VOTED to close the hearing for DEP 125-1104. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

On the motion by Young and seconded by Tatistcheff, it was VOTED to issue a Standard Order of Conditions with Special Conditions as proposed. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

7:53 p.m. (DEP 125-1103) Notice of Intent, Continued Hearing

**Applicant: Alison V. Pascarelli & Elizabeth Hudson Valentine,
Trustees of the 566 Acton Street Nominee Trust**

Project Location: West Street: Map 17, Parcels 21-13, 22-10, 22-11

Project Description: Construction of a Common Driveway to serve 3 lots with work altering Bordering Vegetated Wetland and within the 100-foot Buffer Zone of a Bordering Vegetated Wetland.

Wells opened the continued hearing under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and the Carlisle Wetlands Protection Bylaw. On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to continue the hearing to September 9, 2021, at 7:15 p.m. at the representative's request. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

8:00 p.m. (DEP 125-1110) Notice of Intent, Continued Hearing

Applicant: Derek Zanga

Project Location: Off South Street: Map 5, Parcel 9, Lot A

Project Description: Construction of a paved driveway and replacement of an existing stone culvert that crosses an intermittent stream with work in the 100-foot Buffer Zone of a Bordering Vegetated Wetland

Wells opened the continued hearing under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and the Carlisle Wetlands Protection Bylaw. On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to continue the hearing to August 26, 2021, at 8:00 p.m. at the applicant's request. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

8:05 p.m. (DEP 125-1114) Notice of Intent, Continued Hearing

Applicant: Mollie MacCormack

Project Location: 131 Cross Street

Project Description: Construction of an in-ground pool, associated patio, landscaping upgrades including expanding the existing bluestone patio, reconfiguring stone walls, granite steps and pavers and many native tree and shrub plantings with work within tie 100-buffer zone of a Bordering Vegetated Wetland

Wells opened the continued hearing under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and the Carlisle Wetlands Protection Bylaw.

Dan Carr of Stamski and McNary provided an overview of the plan via screen share, highlighting the requested plan changes as reflected on the revised plan dated 8/2/2021: two monuments are now proposed to mark the edge of regular mowing; the trees to be removed are now shown and labeled on the plan: (3) diseased Ash trees, (1) Norway Maple, and (1) broken Poplar tree; a drainage basin for the stormwater that collects on the pool cover is now proposed; the planting plan has been refined; a Note 2 has been added that states “Additional invasive removals to be cleared as advised by the Conservation Commission Agent.”; the siltation barrier location has been revised to allow access below the existing retaining wall where it meets the existing driveway.

Mr. Carr said the applicant is hoping the use of herbicides could be incorporated into the invasive plant management Continuing Condition due to the extent of the poison ivy that exists in the meadow area. Also present for the hearing was Naomi Cottrell of Crowley Cottrell, LLC, who said she believes they have covered all requests, including revising the plant list to include only native, straight species.

Wells asked why they are showing grading beyond the monumentation. Ms. Cottrell said they plan to restore this area of disturbance to a meadow that will not be mown in the future. Wells requested and received confirmation from Ms. Cottrell that it is understood by the applicants that the Commission would expect there would be no future disturbance beyond those monuments.

Willard noted the location on the plan where the original OOC for this property included a Condition allowing mowing of the meadow to control the invasive plants. She is concerned that if this area is not maintained by mowing into the future, it will revert to a tangle of invasives. Present for the discussion was applicant, Molly MacCormack, who requested the Condition allowing mowing twice per year be included for this project to control the invasives and to maintain native grasses.

Willard referenced the plan note which states “additional invasive removal to be cleared by the Conservation Agent” and expressed concern that it may be interpreted as authorizing the use of herbicides. She noted the Commission has a Continuing Condition that allows for hand removal/moving of invasive plants, but it does not include herbicide use, which would require the filing of a separate Notice of Intent. Ms. MacCormack said the standard Continuing Condition would be fine, as it is not their intent to change anything from the outset. Wells recommended this be clearly specified in the OOC.

On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to close the hearing for DEP 125-1114. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Young, it was VOTED to issue a Standard Order of Conditions, with the striking of Plan Note 2; with the substitution of the standard Continuing Condition allowing the manual removal of invasive plants, noting the application of herbicides would require a new NOI; and with the Continuing Condition allowing mowing of the meadow area no more than twice per year. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

8:15 p.m. (DEP 125-1033A) Request for Amended Order of Conditions

Applicant: SCD Builders/Steve Defoe

Project Location: 97 Hanover Road

Project Description: Amend plan by omitting two retaining walls and replacing them with graded slopes with all work within the 100-foot Buffer Zone of a Bordering Vegetated Wetland

Wells opened the continued hearing under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and the Carlisle Wetlands Protection Bylaw.

Ian Ainsley of Meisner Brem Corporation provided an overview of the revised plan dated 7-23-2021 via screen share. Mr. Ainsley said the amendment request is to remove the 2 retaining walls, one located in the back along the 200ft contour, and one located near the non-jurisdictional, isolated wetland, replacing them with graded slopes. He commented that the applicant felt the retaining walls did not work with the grading from an aesthetic point of view once they started construction on the site. The amendment also includes removal of the pool construction. They are no longer requesting an adjustment to the limit of work.

Wells asked if they are aware the isolated wetland they filled is subject to federal regulatory jurisdiction requiring communication with the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers (USACE) under the Mass General Permit and may require a filing. Mr. Ainsley said he was not aware of these requirements. Wells said that although it is not within the Commission’s jurisdiction, he would advise him to research these requirements.

Willard said she had overlaid the amended plan with the approved plan and found the well was shown in different locations by several feet. Mr. Ainsley explained that when they applied for the first amendment to the plan last year when the applicant initially purchased the property, they assumed because it was an undeveloped lot at the time that the well had not yet been installed so included a proposed well location. The actual well has since been surveyed located as shown on the current plan.

Willard reported having found many examples of fill containing asphalt, brick, and concrete (ABC) used behind the home up to the limit of work. She noted that the one of Carlisle's Standard Conditions requires that fill used within the buffer zone must be clean fill and not containing asphalt brick or concrete (ABC). She reported also finding what looks like some soil/stone material discarded beyond the siltation barrier in several locations. She said she had spoken to the applicant regarding the issue and was told all material originated on site. She noted that the original owner of the lot did a substantial amount of clearing and installed the well in 2014, and he was later required to remove and relocate the ABC fill that had been used within the Buffer Zone. Willard said she is also concerned about the instability of the site, having observed the slope is entirely unvegetated in some areas and silt is on the far side of the barrier in some locations.

Mr. Ainsley said the erosion control line Willard is referencing was installed by the prior owner and the new owner has not done any grading back there, so they just left it in place. Wells asked for clarification as to whether all the erosion control shown on the current plan is proposed. Willard said some erosion control was installed following the last meeting, some was expanded, and in some locations is now breaking down and is pushing up against the deteriorated siltation fabric in some locations.

Tatistcheff said she wants to understand the requirements if there is a wetland that is possibly jurisdictional by the US Army Corp of Engineers (USACE). Wells said he did not know if the Commission has the authority to require the applicant to file with the USACE, but he is aware of the requirement that if the fill is below 5k s.f., they are required to file a Self-Verification Notification Form with the USACE, certifying they comply with the requirements of the Massachusetts General Permit under the Clean Water Act.

Regarding the questionable fill material, Willard pointed out that when this kind of material has been found on other properties in the past, the owner has been required to remove it. She said she does not know the depth of the material and suggested it may be helpful to make this determination. Wells requested that Willard schedule a site walk with Commission members prior to the next hearing and that she informs the applicant of the date and time. The Commission will be evaluating the content of the fill, the stability erosion control barriers and will require a vegetation plan for the slope.

Willard noted that under the previous OOC they are currently requesting to amend, there was a Condition requiring that some native plants be installed just beyond the limit of work in an area that had been cleared by the first owner of the lot. The Condition also includes two years of monitoring and reporting. Wells advised the applicant to take a closer look at the current OOC to ensure they are intending to comply.

Present for the discussion was Jill Defoe of SCD Builders, who asked if it is only the erosion control that they need to be addressing. Tatistcheff clarified the Commission will also be looking the unvegetated slope and evaluating the content of the fill material.

On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Young, it was VOTED to continue the hearing to August 26, 2021, at 8:15 p.m. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Young – aye; Ognibene – aye; Wells – aye. Motion carries.

Certificates of Compliance:

(DEP 125-0986) 570 West Street; Applicant: Steve Defoe; Project: Construction of a subdivision road.

Willard said she did not believe the project is ready for a COC, as it is still under review by the Planning Board and because there is an additional monitoring report required from the wetlands consultant. Ian Ainsley of

Meisner Brem Corporation said they requested the COC at the end of last year, at which time the Commission was looking to ensure the plantings survived over the winter season and that the wetland basin was functioning properly. He said he does not understand why the Planning Board process would be relevant from the Commission's point of view since they are reviewing a completely separate permit. Mr. Ainsley stated the plantings and the inspections have been done, as requested by the Commission last year and said he did not recall the requirement for additional inspections.

Present for the discussion was Jill Defoe of SCD Builders, who said they have complied with every request in order to close out this OOC. Tatistcheff pointed out that there is a stormwater wetland feature that is part of this project and one of the requirements of the OOC is that the Commission be notified of who is maintaining that feature. Willard said there is a block wall that holds the stormwater wetland in place next to the BVW. The end of the wall was damaged by a tree fall during a storm last year and a block was displaced. There is now an erosion hole between the soil berm for the stormwater wetland at the block beside it, and soil is being washed into the wetland located immediately behind it. She has spoken to Steve Defoe about the issue previously. Ms. Defoe said they would take care of filling in the hole. Willard said another issue needing to be addressed is two to three of the shrubs planted at the cistern are looking stressed. Ms. Defoe said she observed the shrubs are alive and growing.

Wells asked if the applicant has provided an updated summary of compliance since the request was first submitted last year. Mr. Ainsley said they have not. Wells said it would be helpful to the Commission to receive something in writing documenting compliance with the Special Conditions in order to confirm all requirements have been met satisfactorily. Mr. Ainsley said they had submitted a letter in November that summarized all work that was done within the Buffer Zone, and nothing has materially changed from that other than further vegetative growth. Wells requested that they update their previous letter to include this year's activities and/or progress in order for the Commission to have one formal document on file.

(DEP 125-1071) 1110 Westford Street: Applicant: Timothy Goddard, Project: Construction of a Communications Tower. Issued: 7/24/2019.

On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to issue a Certificate of Compliance for DEP 125-1071. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

(DEP 125-0945) 80 Captain Wilson Lane: Project: construction of a portion of a single -family dwelling, driveway utilities, grading and drywells and a portion of a proposed septic tank and associated grading; Issued: 8/12/2013.

Willard shared the plan via screen share. She reported visiting the site and finding the site conditions did not match those reflected on the As-Built plan, including some substantial landscaping done in the buffer zone behind the home. She recommends all deviations from the Plan of Record be shown on an updated As-Built plan.

Present for the discussion was property owner Justin Neal, who said they were mistakenly under the impression that when the project was completed, it had been signed off on, and as a result there was a misunderstanding on their part regarding any additional requirements. Wells thanked the property owner for coming forward and said there is a process that is required for submitting an after-the-fact filing that the Conservation Commission staff will be glad to assist with.

Administrative Approval:

Property Location: 300 Curve Street; Property Owner: Marilyn Cugini: The property owner is requesting an Administrative Approval to top off an uprooted tree from the edge of a pond. The tree was damaged during a storm last fall.

There is a request from the owner to have the tree trunk cut and removed by an arborist, leaving a tree stump. It is anticipated that the root ball will drop down in place when that occurs. Willard noted the property owner is in the process of having a landscape plan done and submitting a NOI. On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by

Ognibene, it was VOTED to issue an Administrative Approval as described. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

Conservation Land Management:

Benfield Farms Septic System: Mark Beaudry of Meridian Associates, Inc. was present to provide an update on the Benfield leach field design. He provided an overview of the current design plan via screen share. He said progress has been made on the design for the disposal system following the recent issuance of an OOC for access down the cart path from Lot 1 to Lot 4/Benfield Conservation Land.

Mr. Beaudry said what is important to point out is that the system as currently designed is outside the Buffer Zone; however, it will require some grading in the outer Buffer Zone. He said this will require that they come before the Commission with a request for either an Amended OOC or an Administrative Approval, dependent on the Commission's requirements. He said that based on recent testing, they are now going with a Perc Rite Drip Disposal System, which is the same type of system that was used previously, and which will be approximately 30% larger.

Tatistcheff said she did not view this as a small change and expressed concern about what is now being proposed in terms of significant regrading within the 100-foot Buffer Zone not anticipated on the previous plan. She said believes the discussion should be continued until a representative from CCF, the CR holder, can be present. Mr. Beaudry said that it had been his understanding that there were going to be CCF representatives present for tonight's discussion

Willard said she received an email earlier in the day from CCF board member David Freedman, who was unable to attend, asking whether the sand/gravel they will be using to fill the septic field after digging out the existing soil can have a slower perc rate than the Title V sand they are proposing to use.

Mr. Beaudry said the quick answer is no because Title V requires that the remove/replace be done with Title V septic sand, which is required to have 2 mins per inch in place. He said additionally, the underlying soil is still 2 minutes per inch, and that would require a variance, which the BOH has stated they will not grant.

Vice Chair Wells thanked Mr. Beaudry for the update and said the Commission looks forward to continuing the discussion.

Interview for open position on the Land Stewardship Committee (LSC): Judy Asarkof was present to discuss her interest in joining the LSC. She noted she was a member of LSC years ago and had to step down due to professional requirements. She is passionate about the health and balance of the lands in Carlisle and is fascinated with the habitats and varied wildlife in town. She seeks to join others who are tasked with the job of maintaining the lands in as good health as possible and to continue doing scientific study with others in order to stay as resilient as possible under the threat of climate change.

On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to request that the Select Board appoint Judy Asarkof to the Land Stewardship Committee. The Commission thanked Ms. Asarkoff for her willingness to volunteer.

Invasive plant treatment on additional Conservation Lands: Willard reported she has observed rebounding invasive plant species on two properties where edge clearing had been funded on the agricultural fields several years ago: Foss Farm/upper field and the Bisbee Land. She has discussed the potential of treating these areas with herbicide with farmer Mark Duffy, who indicated he has no problem with this, providing the work is done by a state licensed herbicide applicator and under the appropriate weather conditions.

Wells suggested deferring a decision until more investigative work is done with potential parties, including the Trails Committee.

Greenough Dam - Initiation of Repair: Notice to proceed was issued this week and some work has begun. It appears that reflagging and construction of the wetland replication/compensatory storage was not included in the

bid. The Commission has received a quote from Stamski and McNary, who did the wetlands plan for this project, for a quote for this work as well as for the cost of an As-Built Plan. The quote of \$8,000 has been approved by Town Administrator, Tim Goddard. Proposed but not yet confirmed are weekly meetings on site on Tuesday mornings.

It was announced on 7/28/2021 that the Town did not receive funding for the Greenough Pond Dam repair from the state grant that was applied for earlier in the spring.

Project Updates:

1215 Curve Street – Building Permit signoff: Willard reported the proposed home outline has changed from the original house box and has been rotated. She said the plan she was shown for this Building Permit sign off was not in agreement with the Conservation Commission’s Plan of Record, so she has asked for a revised plan to show any changes from the Plan of Record. The plan submitted showed no increase in buffer zone area used and no change in the limit of work.

On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to approve these changes under a red-line change. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

FY22 Budget: Willard reported the issue regarding the Conservation Commission’s staffing budget is still outstanding. At issue is whether a portion of the Assistant’s time is paid from the Intents Account (WPA funds) or from the General Fund. The Commission’s FY22 budget request to FinCom requested the latter. Willard has been advised that Fincom mistakenly approved the amount from the General Fund, as requested by the Commission, which was then approved by Town Meeting.

Willard said she has spoken with Parra regarding the issue, and he believes that this is a Town Meeting issue and should therefore be addressed at a future Town Meeting. Willard is also planning on discussing the issue with Town Administrator Tim Goddard.

9:05 p.m. On the motion by Tatistcheff and seconded by Hundal, it was VOTED to adjourn. Roll Call Vote: Tatistcheff – aye; Hundal – aye; Ognibene – aye; Young – aye; Wells – aye.

All supporting materials that have been provided to members of this body can be made available on upon request